arXiv:1107.0213v3 [math.AP] 24 Nov 2011

Stability of waves using the Fredholm determinant*

Issa Karambal
School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences
Heriot-Watt University, EH14 3AS Edinburgh, UK
E-mail address: ik68@hw.ac.uk

Abstract

We present a new method for reducing the Fredholm determinant associated with an underlying Birman-Schwinger operator to a finite dimensional determinant. Moreover, we compute explicitly the connection between the Fredholm determinant and the Evans function for travelling wave problems of all orders in one dimension.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper, is to determine the spectrum of a linear differential operator $L = L_0 + v(x)$, where L_0 is a constant coefficient differential operator of order $n \geq 2$, and $v(x)$ is the Jacobian evaluated at the travelling wave ϕ. The approaches adopted for solving such a problem in this paper are essentially, the Fredholm determinant and the Evans function. Both of these are analytic functions whose zeros coincide in location and multiplicity with the eigenvalues of the operator L. The Evans function or the miss-distance function [32], as introduced in [9] and extended for instance in [1], is defined as the Wronskian of the set of solutions $u^{\pm}$ of the associated problem $(L - \lambda)u = 0$ which decay at $x = \pm\infty$, respectively. On the other hand, the Fredholm determinant is the determinant of a trace class operators that differ by the identity operator. Among its applications, we cite for example from mathematical physics [43, 11, 27, 24, 16, 15]. The motivation of this article is based on the fact that, numerically we have observed that the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ when it is embedded in the essential spectrum is a pole for the Fredholm determinant in some situations. Consequently, this implies that the Fredholm determinant is a rational function. So the behaviour of the Fredholm determinant near $\lambda = 0$ depends entirely on its rational expression. It turns out that under some assumptions, the analytic rational expression is nothing but the Evans function and the Wronskian of the set of solutions corresponding to $(L_0 - \lambda)u = 0$. Hence the Fredholm determinant, which is an infinite determinant, is equal up to a non-zero analytic function of the spectral parameter, to a finite dimensional determinant. This observation is not new, since it has been proven in [43, 15, 13, 17, 14, 27, 24, 18], where the associated integral operator is either trace class or Hilbert-Schmidt operators. However, our approach of achieving similar result is different, as it uses the interpretation of the Evans function that is, the measure of the linear dependence of subspaces $E^{\pm}$ defined by the set of solutions of $(L - \lambda)u = 0$ decaying at $\pm\infty$. By this, we mean that if the subspace E^{-} decaying at $-\infty$ (respectively $+\infty$) is orthogonal to a given subspace decaying at $+\infty$ (respectively $-\infty$) defined by the set of solutions of the adjoint problem, then necessary we must have that E^{-} and E^{+} are linearly dependent. By doing so, we obtain a Gramian matrix $D(\lambda)$ of dimension less than n, which we call the *matrix transmission coefficient* by analogy to the transmission coefficient in Scattering Theory. The basic details of our reduction are as follows.

1. Under some assumptions, we prove that the determinant of $D(\lambda)$ is equal to the Fredholm determinant associated to a trace class Birman-Schwinger operator.

***Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).** Primary: 47B10, 47G10, Secondary: 34B27, 34L05.
Keywords. Fredholm determinant, Evans function, Stability of waves, Green's function, Birman-Schwinger operator

2. We observe that the Evans function $E(\lambda)$ is written as the product of the determinant of the matrix transmission coefficient and the determinant of the set of solutions of $(L_0 - \lambda) = 0$. This enables us to relate the determinant of the variable coefficient differential operator $L - \lambda$ to the Evans function. Thus we can define the Fredholm determinant as the quotient of the determinant of differential operators which are Fredholm. This implies that we can extend the notion of the Fredholm determinant to non-compact operators.

3. Given the decomposition of the Evans function in 2, we extend the connection between the determinant of $D(\lambda)$ and the modified Fredholm determinant associated to a general first order system Birman-Schwinger operator [18, 44].

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we construct the Green's function for our problem. In Section 3 we introduce the Birman-Schwinger operator corresponding to our model, and some of its properties. In Section 4, we make the connection of the Fredholm determinant to the determinant of $D(\lambda)$. This connection enables us to extend the connection to integral operator belonging to weaker class than that of trace class. In section 5, we construct the appropriate Fredholm determinant for the case $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} \phi(x) = \phi^{\pm}$ with $\phi^+ \neq \phi^-$. This is done by constructing an equivalent Fredholm determinant such that its associated integral operator contains only the essential information of the original problem. Finally in Section 6, we provide some concluding remarks and outline future directions of investigation.

2 Green's Functions

A differential operator $\mathcal{A}$ defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ with domain $\mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{A}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ is invertible if it is injective and if its range, i.e $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{A})$, is the entire space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. This because we wish $\mathcal{A}$ to have both left and right inverses with these being the same. Assume $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible, then we write

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x,y)v(y)dy, \quad \forall v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $g(x,y)$ is the *Green's function.* Throughout this paper, by invertibility we mean the existence of the left and the right inverse, i.e

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}^{-1} = I_{L^2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an nth order differential given by

$$\mathcal{L} = a_n(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} + \ldots + a_1(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} + a_0(x).$$

Theorem 2.1. *[28] The Green's function $g(x,y)$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}g(x,y) = \delta(x-y)$ in the sense of distributions if and only if :*

1. *$\mathcal{L}g(x,y) = 0$ for $x \neq y$;*
2. *$g(x,y)$ is $n-2$ times continuously differentiable in x at $x = y$;*
3. *$\frac{d^{n-1}g(x,y)}{dx^{n-1}}\Big|_{x=y^-}^{x=y^+} = \frac{1}{a_n(x)}$ (jump condition).*

If the Green's function exists, then the solution of $\mathcal{L}u(x) = f(x)$ is given by

$$u(x) = Kf(x) = \int_a^b g(x,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y,$$

where K denotes the inverse of the operator $\mathcal{L}$.

The maximal operator $\mathcal{L}_{\max}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{L}$ is such that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\max}) &= \{u \in AC(I) \cap L^2(I) \colon \ \mathcal{L}u \in L^2(I)\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\max} u &= \mathcal{L}u, \end{aligned}$$

where $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $AC(I)$ denotes the set of absolutely continuous functions on I.

Proposition 2.2. *[17, Chap XIV. 3] The maximal operator corresponding to $\mathcal{L}$ and any interval is closed.*

The Green's function of a closed ordinary differential operator on a domain I is *semi-separable*, this means that $g(x, y)$ has the following form [17, Chap XIV. 3]

$$g(x,y) = \begin{cases} F_1(x)G_1(y), & y \leq x, \quad x, y \in I; \\ F_2(x)G_2(y), & x < y, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $F_j(x) \in L^2(I, \mathbb{C}^{n \times n_j})$ and $G_j(x) \in L^2(I, \mathbb{C}^{n_j \times n})$ for $j = 1, 2$ and some $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.
We recall a bounded operator $\mathcal{L} : X \to Y$ acting between Banach spaces X and Y, is Fredholm if its range is closed and the dimension of its kernel and cokernel are finite. Consequently we have, if $K : X \to X$ is a compact operator then the operator $I + K$ is Fredholm, where I is the identity map of X to itself [17].
Consider the differential operator L obtained from linearising a nonlinear partial differential equation (PDE) on $\mathbb{R}$ about its travelling wave solution $\phi(x)$. The operator L is defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ and it is given by

$$L = L_0 + v(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$L_0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} + a_{n-1}(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n-1}}{\mathrm{d}x^{n-1}} + \ldots + a_1(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} + a_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3}$$

and $v(x) = V(\phi(x))$ where V is the Jacobian corresponding to the nonlinear part associated to the PDE.
In what follows, we assume that $\phi^{(l)}$ is in L^1 and satisfies also

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \phi^{(l)}(x) = \begin{cases} \phi^{\infty}, & l = 0; \\ 0, & l \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $\phi^{(l)}(x) = \mathrm{d}^l/\mathrm{d}x^l$ for some $l \leq \infty$, and $\phi^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$. For simplicity, we take $\phi^{\infty} = 0$, however the analysis that we will carry out remains the same for $\phi^{\infty} \neq 0$ or more generally when $\phi^{-\infty} \neq \phi^{+\infty}$, under the assumptions described in this paper. In that case, $(L_0 + V(\phi^{\infty}) - \lambda I_{L^2})$ and $(v(x) - V(\phi^{\infty}))$ substitute for $(L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2})$ and $v(x)$, respectively. The eigenvalue problem reads

$$\begin{cases} Lu = \lambda u \\ u(\pm\infty) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Our objective is to determine the values of λ for which the operator $(L - \lambda I_{L^2}) : H^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ is Fredholm of index zero. To this end, let's make the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 2.1. *Assume that the a_i are constant for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, and the characteristic polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n$ associated to the operator $L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}$*

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\mu) = \mu^n + a_{n-1}\mu^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1\mu + a_0 - \lambda = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Omega, \tag{6}$$

has k roots $\kappa_i^+ = \mu_i^+(\lambda), \ i = 1, \ldots, k$ with $\mathrm{Re}(\kappa_i^+) > 0$ and $n - k$ roots $\kappa_i^- = \mu_i^-(\lambda), \ i = k+1, \ldots, n$ with $\mathrm{Re}(\kappa_i^-) < 0$ for a suitable $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ which may contain the right-half complex plane.

Under Hypothesis 2.1, the operator $L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}$ is Fredholm, therefore it has a bounded resolvent for $\lambda \in \Omega$. Let $K(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the resolvent operator corresponding to $L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}$, that is

$$\left(K(\lambda)u\right)(x, \lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x, \xi, \lambda) u(\xi, \lambda) \ \mathrm{d}\xi, \qquad \forall u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Thus from Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.1 and (1), we have the following definition.

Definition 2.3. *For $\lambda \in \Omega$, we define the Green's function $g(x,\xi,\lambda) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{C})$ of the operator $L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}$ by*

$$g(x,\xi,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i(\lambda) e^{\kappa_i^+(x-\xi)}, & x \le \xi, \qquad x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}; \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \alpha_i(\lambda) e^{\kappa_i^-(x-\xi)}, & \xi < x, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha_i(\lambda),\ i = 1,\dots,n$ are solutions of the following matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ \kappa_1^+ & \dots & \kappa_k^+ & -\kappa_{k+1}^- & \dots & -\kappa_n^- \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (\kappa_1^+)^{n-1} & \dots & (\kappa_k^+)^{n-1} & -(\kappa_{k+1}^-)^{n-1} & \dots & -(\kappa_n^-)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(\lambda) \\ \alpha_2(\lambda) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

Let $\det_F$ denote the determinant of a Fredholm operator [25, 41]. Under our assumptions, $K(\lambda)v(x)$ is compact. Then by standard argument invoking the Fredholm alternative. We have λ is an eigenvalue of the operator L if only if

$$\det\nolimits_F \left(I_{L^2} + K(\lambda)v(x)\right) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Thus λ is an eigenvalue of L if the determinant of the Fredholm operator $I + K(\lambda)v(x)$ is equal to zero. In other words if -1 is an eigenvalue of $K(\lambda)v(x)$.

Rewrite the eigenvalue problem (5) as a first order system of ordinary differential equation, that is

$$Y' = \left(A(\lambda) + R(x)\right) Y \tag{10}$$

where $Y = \left(u(x), \dots, u^{(n-1)}\right)^T$,

$$A(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & 1 \\ \lambda - a_0 & -a_1 & & \cdots & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

and

$$R(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(n-1)\times(n-1)} & 0_{((n-1)\times 1)} \\ V(\phi(x))_{(1\times(m+1))} & 0_{(1\times(n-m-1))} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

for some fixed $m \in \{0,\dots,n-2\}$. Let the constant-coefficient differential operator L_A be defined by

$$L_A : H^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^n)$$
$$Y \mapsto \mathrm{d}Y/\mathrm{d}x - A(\lambda)Y$$

Since the Fredholm properties, and the Fredholm indices of $L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}$ and $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x - A(\lambda)$ are the same [41], then the constant-coefficient operator L_A is Fredholm as well, for all $\lambda \in \Omega$. In other words, the Fredholmness of the operator L_A is a consequence of the existence of an exponential dichotomy on $\mathbb{R}$ (see. [41]). We write $K_0(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^n)$, the inverse of the operator L_A given by

$$\left(K_0(\lambda)Y\right)(x,\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x,\xi,\lambda)Y(\xi,\lambda)\,\mathrm{d}\xi, \qquad \forall\, Y \in L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where $G(x,\xi,\lambda) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{C}^{n\times n})$ is the *Green's matrix* satisfying

$$L_A G(x,\xi,\lambda) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad x \ne \xi, \tag{13a}$$
$$G(\xi^+,\xi,\lambda) - G(\xi^-,\xi,\lambda) = I_n, \tag{13b}$$
$$G(x,x_-,\lambda) - G(x,x_+,\lambda) = I_n, \tag{13c}$$
$$G(\pm\infty,\xi,\lambda) = 0. \tag{13d}$$

Using equation (13), we define the Green's matrix $G(x,\xi,\lambda)$.

Definition 2.4. *For $\lambda \in \Omega$, we define the Green's matrix $g(x,\xi,\lambda) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^{n\times n})$ of the operator L_A by*

$$G(x,\xi,\lambda) = \begin{cases} -Y_0^-(x,\lambda)Z_0^+(\xi,\lambda), & x \le \xi, \quad x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}; \\ Y_0^+(x,\lambda)Z_0^-(\xi,\lambda), & \xi < x, \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

where $Y_0^-(x,\lambda) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^{n\times k})$, $Y_0^+(x,\lambda) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^{n\times (n-k)})$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(Z_0^{\pm}(x,\lambda))_{j^\pm} = -(Z_0^{\pm}(x,\lambda))_{j^\pm} A(\lambda)$$

with $(j^+, j^-) = (1,\dots,k,\ k+1,\dots,n)$.

Observe that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$, $Y_0^{\pm}$ and $Z_0^{\pm}$ satisfy

$$Z_0^+(x,\lambda)Y_0^-(x,\lambda) = I_k, \tag{15a}$$

$$Z_0^-(x,\lambda)Y_0^+(x,\lambda) = I_{n-k}, \tag{15b}$$

$$Z_0^+(x,\lambda)Y_0^+(x,\lambda) = 0_{(n-k)\times k}, \tag{15c}$$

$$Z_0^-(x,\lambda)Y_0^-(x,\lambda) = 0_{k\times(n-k)}. \tag{15d}$$

Similarly, the eigenvalues for the first order system are obtained from

$$\det{}_F\left(I_{L^2} + K_0(\lambda)R(x)\right) = 0. \tag{16}$$

The domain Ω mentioned in Hypothesis 2.1, is essentially the resolvent set of the operator L_0, that is

$$\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_e(L), \tag{17}$$

where $\sigma_e(L) = \sigma_e(L_0)$ is the essential spectrum of L given by

$$\sigma_e(L_0) = \overline{\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\colon\ \lambda = \sum_{l=0}^{n} c_l\zeta^l \colon \zeta \in \mathbb{R},\ c_l = i^l a_l \text{ and } c_n = i^n\}},$$

or equivalently

$$\sigma_e(L_A) = \overline{\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\colon\ \det\left(i\zeta I_n - A(\lambda)\right) = 0,\ \zeta \in \mathbb{R}\}}.$$

Note that $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue of the operator L embedded in the essential spectrum.

3 The Birman-Schwinger operator

Since our problem of computing the pure point spectrum of the operator L is reduced to the computation of the determinant of a Fredholm operator given by an identity plus a compact operator. Then, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of compact operators in a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2$, and by $\sigma_n(A)$ the singular values of a compact operator A. The Schatten-von Neumann classes of compact operators are defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{H}) = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})\colon\ \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^p(A)\right]^{1/p} < \infty \right\}, \quad (1 \le p < \infty)$$

with the corresponding norm

$$\|A\|_p = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^p(A)\right]^{1/p},$$

and the usual convention for $p = \infty$. We say A is of trace class if $A \in \mathcal{J}_1$, and is Hilbert-Schmidt if $A \in \mathcal{J}_2$. If $A \in \mathcal{J}_p$, we denote by $\det_p$ the p-modified Fredholm determinant [42]. Then the determinant of the Fredholm operator $I_{\mathcal{H}} + A$ is given by

$$\det{}_F(I_{\mathcal{H}} + A) = \det{}_p(I_{\mathcal{H}} + A).$$

Assume that $v(x)$ in (2) is given by

$$v(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{k}{m} \frac{\mathrm{d}^k \phi}{\mathrm{d}x^k} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{m-k}}{\mathrm{d}x^{m-k}}, \tag{18}$$

for some fixed $m \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$, ϕ satisfying (4) and L_0 a constant coefficient differential operator given in (3). Assume Hypothesis 2.1, then for $\lambda \in \Omega$ defined in (17), equation (9) is written as

$$u(x, \lambda) = -\left(K(\lambda) v u\right)(x, \lambda) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x, \xi, \lambda) v(\xi) u(\xi, \lambda)\ \mathrm{d}\xi, \tag{19}$$

where $g(x, \xi, \lambda)$ is given in (7).

3.0.1 The case when $m = 0$

When $m = 0,\ v(x) = \phi(x)$. Let $\tilde{\phi}(x) = |\phi(x)|^{1/2} e^{i \arg(\phi(x))}$, then equation (19) is equivalent to

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = -\left(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)\psi\right)(x, \lambda), \tag{20}$$

where $\psi(x, \lambda) = |\phi(x)|^{1/2} e^{i \arg(\phi(x))} u(x, \lambda)$, and $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is the Birman-Schwinger operator

$$\mathcal{B}(\lambda) = \tilde{\phi}(x) K(\lambda) |\phi|^{1/2}, \tag{21}$$

with integral kernel

$$\tilde{g}(x, \xi, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\phi}(x) \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i(\lambda) e^{\kappa_i^+ (x-\xi)} |\phi(\xi)|^{1/2}, & x \leq \xi, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}; \\ \tilde{\phi}(x) \displaystyle\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \alpha_i(\lambda) e^{\kappa_i^- (x-\xi)} |\phi(\xi)|^{1/2}, & \xi < x. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Theorem 3.1. *[44, Theorem 4.1 Chap 4] If $f \in L^p$ and $h \in L^p$ with $2 \leq p < \infty$, then $f(x) h(-i\nabla)$ is in $\mathcal{J}_p$ and*

$$\|f(x) h(-i\nabla)\|_{\mathcal{J}_p} \leq (2\pi)^{-1/p} \|f\|_{L^p} \|h\|_{L^p}. \tag{23}$$

For $\lambda \in \Omega$, we write $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) = f(x).h(q) = \tilde{\phi}(x).\hat{g}(q)|\phi|^{1/2}$ where $q = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}$, and

$$\hat{g}(q) = \frac{1}{\displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j q^j - \lambda},$$

with $\hat{g}$ being the inverse of the Fourier transform associated to the constant coefficient differential operator $L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}$. Since $\phi \in L^1$ then the function $\tilde{g}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ given in (22) is in L^2 in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator with norm satisfying (23). Furthermore, by observing that $\hat{g}(q)$ is the product of n Hilbert-Schmidt operators and using the argument in [18, Theorem 11.2 Chap IV]. It follows that, $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is of trace class for $\lambda \in \Omega$. Hence the determinant of the Fredholm operator $I_{L^2} + \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\det\nolimits_F \left(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{B}(\lambda)\right) = \det\nolimits_1 \left(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{B}(\lambda)\right), \tag{24}$$

where $\det_1$ denote the determinant of a trace class operator. Thus we have

$$\det\nolimits_1 \left(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{B}(\lambda)\right) = d(\lambda), \tag{25}$$

where $d(\lambda)$ is the Fredholm determinant given by

$$d(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n(\lambda)$$

with

$$d_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \det([\tilde{g}(x_i, x_j, \lambda)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n}) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_n.$$

Since $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is of trace class and induced a continuous kernel $\tilde{g}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ for all $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$. We have for $n = 1$

$$d_1(\lambda) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \alpha_i(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{26}$$

3.0.2 The case when $m \neq 0$

Suppose that the integer $m \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$. Then integration by parts of equation (20) gives

$$u(x, \lambda) = (-1)^{m+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{g}_m(x, \xi, \lambda) \phi(\xi) u(\xi, \lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\xi, \tag{27}$$

where

$$\tilde{g}_m(x, \xi, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^m (\kappa_i^+)^m \alpha_i(\lambda) e^{\kappa_i^+(x-\xi)}, & x \leq \xi, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}; \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} (-1)^m (\kappa_i^-)^m \alpha_i(\lambda) e^{\kappa_i^-(x-\xi)}, & \xi < x. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

As in (21), we rewrite (28) in the Birman-Schwinger form

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = (-1)^{m+1} \left(B_m(\lambda) \psi \right)(x, \xi),$$

where the Birman-Schwinger operator $B_m(\lambda)$ is given by

$$B_m(\lambda) = \tilde{\phi}(x) K_m(\lambda) |\phi|^{1/2},$$

with $K_m(\lambda)$ the resolvent operator associated to the kernel function given in (28).

Corollary 1. *For $m \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$, the operators $B_m(\lambda)$ with $B_0(\lambda) := \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ given in (21) are of trace class.*

Proof. Write $B_m(\lambda) = \tilde{\phi}(x) \hat{g}_m(q) |\phi|^{1/2}$ with $\hat{g}_0 := \hat{g}$. From the continuity property of the Green's function associated to the operator $L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}$, that is for all $m \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$,

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \alpha_i(\lambda) (\kappa_i^-)^m = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i(\lambda) (\kappa_i^+)^m.$$

We have

$$\hat{g}_m(q) = c_m(\lambda) \hat{g}(q),$$

where $c_m(\lambda)$ is a non-zero constant. Then it follows that $B_m(\lambda)$ is of trace class. ☐

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $m = n - 1$, then the operator $B_{n-1}(\lambda)$ with kernel given by*

$$\tilde{g}_{n-1}(x, \xi, \lambda) = \begin{cases} -\tilde{\phi}(x) \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{n-1} (\kappa_i^+)^{n-1} \alpha_i(\lambda) e^{\kappa_i^+(x-\xi)} |\phi(\xi)|^{1/2}, & x \leq \xi, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}; \\ \tilde{\phi}(x) \displaystyle\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} (-1)^{n-1} (\kappa_i^-)^{n-1} \alpha_i(\lambda) e^{\kappa_i^-(x-\xi)} |\phi(\xi)|^{1/2}, & \xi < x \end{cases}$$

is of trace class.

Proof. For $m = n-1$, we have $B_{n-1}(\lambda) = \tilde{\phi}(x)\hat{g}_{n-1}(q)|\phi|^{1/2}$. The kernel function $\tilde{g}_{n-1}(x,\xi,\lambda)$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{C})$, so $B_{n-1}(\lambda)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. Observe that

$$\hat{g}(\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha_i(\lambda)}{\kappa_i^+ - i\zeta} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_i(\lambda)}{\kappa_i^- - i\zeta}$$

$$\hat{g}_{n-1}(\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha_i(\lambda)(\kappa_i^+)^{n-1}}{\kappa_i^+ - i\zeta} - \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_i(\lambda)(\kappa_i^-)^{n-1}}{\kappa_i^- - i\zeta}.$$

Then it follows that there exists a non-zero constant $c_{n-1}(\lambda)$ such that

$$\hat{g}_{n-1}(\zeta) = c_{n-1}(\lambda)\hat{g}(\zeta) - \hat{h}(\zeta),$$

where

$$\hat{h}(\zeta) = c_{n-1}(\lambda)\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_i(\lambda)}{\kappa_i^- - i\zeta} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_i(\lambda)(\kappa_i^-)^{n-1}}{\kappa_i^- - i\zeta}.$$

Hence

$$B_{n-1}(\lambda) = c_{n-1}(\lambda)\mathcal{B}(\lambda) + \widetilde{B}(\lambda),$$

where $\widetilde{B}(\lambda) = \tilde{\phi}(x)\hat{h}(q)|\phi|^{1/2}$. The operator $\widetilde{B}(\lambda)$ induced a smooth kernel in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$, hence it is of trace class. Moreover $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is of trace class, then it follows that $B_{n-1}(\lambda)$ is of trace class. ☐

From Corollary 1 and Theorem 3.2, we thus have the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *If $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is of trace class then $B_m(\lambda)$ is also of trace class, for $m \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}$.*

3.1 The first order system case

Under Hypothesis 2.1 and $\lambda \in \Omega$, equation (16) is

$$Y(x,\lambda) = (K_0(\lambda)Y)(x,\lambda) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x,\xi,\lambda)R(\xi)Y(\xi,\lambda)\,\mathrm{d}\xi,$$

where $G(x,\xi,\lambda)$ is defined in (14). Equivalently, equation (16) is written as

$$\Psi(x,\lambda) = -|R(x)|^{1/2}\left(K_0(\lambda)\widetilde{R}\Psi\right)(x,\lambda)$$

where $\Psi(x,\lambda) = |R(x)|^{1/2}Y(x,\lambda)$ and $\widetilde{R}(x) = U|R(x)|^{1/2}$ with U a unitary transformation so that $R(x) = \widetilde{R}(x)|R(x)|^{1/2}$. Let $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ denote the Birman-Schwinger matrix operator defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(\lambda) = |R(x)|^{1/2}K_0(\lambda)\widetilde{R}$$

with integral kernel given by

$$k(x,\xi,\lambda) = \begin{cases} -|R(x)|^{1/2}Y_0^-(x,\lambda)Z_0^+(\xi,\lambda)\widetilde{R}(\xi), & x \le \xi, \quad x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}; \\ |R(x)|^{1/2}Y_0^+(x,\lambda)Z_0^-(\xi,\lambda)\widetilde{R}(\xi), & \xi < x. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

For $\lambda \in \Omega$, $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Proposition 3.4. *For $\lambda \in \Omega$, the Birman-Schwinger matrix operator $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is of trace class.*

Proof. Write the kernel k as follows

$$k(x,\xi,\lambda)=\begin{pmatrix} k_{11}(x,\xi,\lambda) & k_{12}(x,\xi,\lambda)\\ k_{21}(x,\xi,\lambda) & k_{22}(x,\xi,\lambda)\end{pmatrix},$$

where $k_{ij}(x,\xi,\lambda)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{C}^{n_i\times n_j})$ for $i,j=1,2,\ n_1=k$ and $n_2=n-k$. Suppose $m=0$, then given the structure of the matrix-valued function $R(x)$ defined in (12), we have

$$\Psi(x,\lambda)=\begin{pmatrix}\psi(x,\lambda)\\ 0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\psi(x,\lambda)$ is introduced in equation (20). Also $k_{12}=k_{21}=k_{22}=0$ for all $(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\lambda\in\Omega$. Hence

$$k(x,\xi,\lambda)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\tilde{g}(x,\xi,\lambda),0,\dots,0\right).$$

This yields $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathcal{B}(\lambda),0,\dots,0\right)$. Thus

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{K}(\lambda)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)\right)$$

and

$$\det\nolimits_F\left(I_{L^2}+\mathcal{K}(\lambda)\right)=\det\nolimits_F\left(I_{L^2}+\mathcal{B}(\lambda)\right)=d(\lambda).$$

However for $m\neq 0$, using a transformation essentially integration by parts in the original scalar integral equation, lead to the case when $m=0$ that is, $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)=\operatorname{diag}\left(B_m(\lambda),0,\dots,0\right)$. Thus $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is of trace class, for $m\in\{0,\dots,n-2\}$ and also when $m=n-1$. □

Given Proposition 3.4, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(k(x,x,\lambda)\right)\,\mathrm{d}x=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\tilde{g}_m(x,x,\lambda)\,\mathrm{d}x=\operatorname{tr}(B_m(\lambda)).$$

On the other hand, using equation (17), the invariance of trace for a cyclic rotation of three matrices, in particular $\operatorname{tr}(Z_0^+RY_0^-)=\operatorname{tr}(Y_0^-Z_0^+R)$ and observing that $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(R(x))=0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(k(x,x,\lambda)\right)\,\mathrm{d}x &= \int_0^{+\infty}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(|R(x)|^{1/2}Y_0^+(x,\lambda)Z_0^-(x,\lambda)\widetilde{R}(x)\right)\mathrm{d}x\\ &\quad-\int_{-\infty}^{0}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(|R(x)|^{1/2}Y_0^-(x,\lambda)Z_0^+(x,\lambda)\widetilde{R}(x)\right)\mathrm{d}x\\ &=\int_0^{+\infty}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(|R(x)|^{1/2}(I_n-Y_0^-(x,\lambda)Z_0^+(x,\lambda))\widetilde{R}(x)\right)\mathrm{d}x\\ &\quad-\int_{-\infty}^{0}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(|R(x)|^{1/2}Y_0^-(x,\lambda)Z_0^+(x,\lambda)\widetilde{R}(x)\right)\mathrm{d}x\\ &=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(\pm Y_0^\pm(x,\lambda)Z_0^\mp(x,\lambda)R(x)\right)\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the trace of the trace class operator $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is explicitly given by

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{K}(\lambda)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(\pm Y_0^\pm(x,\lambda)Z_0^\mp(x,\lambda)R(x)\right)\,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{30}$$

Remark 1. Note that although the kernel $k(x,\xi,\lambda)$ associated to the trace class operator $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is discontinuous along the diagonal. A formula for computing its trace in terms of its kernel function is available. When $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(R(x))\neq 0\ \forall x\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is trace class then equation (30) remains valid (cf. [13]).

4 Fredholm determinant and Evans function

In this section, we show that the Fredholm determinant associated to the first order system or the scalar problem can be reduced to a finite dimensional determinant under the assumption of all the previous hypotheses. Let $\wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the kth exterior power of the vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$ where $\wedge$ is the usual wedge product. Giving $U = U_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge U_k \in \wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $V = V_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge V_k \in \wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then the inner product of U and V on $\wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is defined by

$$[\![U, V]\!]_k = \det_{\mathbb{C}^k} \left(\langle U_i, V_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right) \ i, j = 1, \ldots, k.$$

We introduce the Hodge star operator which is an isomorphism between $\wedge^{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$.
By $\star$ we denote the Hodge star operator, so for any $U \in \wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $V \in \wedge^{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ we have

$$[\![U, \star V]\!]_k \mathcal{V} = U \wedge V,$$

where $\mathcal{V} = e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_n \in \wedge^n(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a volume form, and $(e_i)_{i=1\ldots n}$ a unitary basis for $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Definition 4.1. *We define the matrix transmission coefficient $D(\lambda)$ by*

$$D(\lambda) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} \langle Y_i^-(x, \lambda), \left(Z_0^+(x, \lambda)\right)_j^* \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}, \ \textit{for } i, j = 1, \ldots, k, \tag{31}$$

where

$$Y_l^-(x, \lambda) = \left(Y_0^-(x, \lambda)\right)_l + \int_{-\infty}^{x} H(x, \xi, \lambda) R(\xi) Y_l^-(\xi, \lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\xi, \ \textit{where } l = 1, \ldots, k$$

is the Jost solution with $H(x, \xi, \lambda) = Y_0^-(x, \lambda) Z_0^+(\xi, \lambda) + Y_0^+(x, \lambda) Z_0^-(\xi, \lambda)$.

In the context of the Evans function, λ is an eigenvalue if the subspaces defined by the set of solutions decaying at $\pm\infty$ of equation (10) are linearly dependent. Thus, equation (31) means that since Y_0^+ and Z_0^+ are orthogonal for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and in particular when $x \to \infty$. Then, if Y^- and Z_0^+ are orthogonal for some $\lambda \in \Omega$ as x goes to $+\infty$, we must have Y^- and Y_0^+ are linearly dependent. In other words, if Y^- and Y^+ are linearly dependent for some $\lambda \in \Omega$, so are Y^- and Y_0^+ when x tends to $+\infty$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let*

$$U^-(x, \lambda) = Y_1^-(x, \lambda) \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_k^-(x, \lambda) \in \wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

and

$$S_0^+(x, \lambda) = \left(Z_0^+(x, \lambda)\right)_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge \left(Z_0^+(x, \lambda)\right)_k^* \in \wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

For $\lambda \in \Omega$, we have

$$\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} [\![U^-(x, \lambda), S_0^+(x, \lambda)]\!]_k$$

Proof. Using equation (12), we have

$$\langle Y_i^-(x, \lambda), \left(Z_0^+(x, \lambda)\right)_j^* \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} = \left(\delta_{ij} + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \left(Z_0^+(\xi, \lambda)\right)_j R(\xi) Y_i^-(\xi, \lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right)_{i,j=1,\ldots,k}. \tag{32}$$

Observe that the integrand of equation (32) is independent of the variable x, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) &= \lim_{x \to +\infty} \left(\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} \langle Y_i^-(x, \lambda), \left(Z_0^+(x, \lambda)\right)_j^* \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right) \\ &= \lim_{x \to +\infty} [\![U^-(x, \lambda), S_0^+(x, \lambda)]\!]_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.3. *For $\lambda \in \Omega$, the determinant of the matrix transmission coefficient equals to the Fredholm determinant, that is*

$$\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = d(\lambda).$$

Proof. Taking the limit as $x \to +\infty$ in (32), we have

$$\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = \det_{\mathbb{C}^k} \left(\delta_{ij} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(Z_0^+(\xi,\lambda) \right)_j R(\xi) Y_i^-(\xi,\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right) \text{ where } i,j = 1, \cdots, k. \tag{33}$$

Observe that equation (33) can be written as

$$\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = \det_{\mathbb{C}^k} \left(I_k + \mathcal{D}(\lambda) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^k) \to L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^k)$ is an integral operator with kernel $h(x,y,\lambda)$ which is independent of the variable x, that is $h(x,y,\lambda) = Z_0^+(y,\lambda)R(y)Y^-(y,\lambda)$. The finite rank operator $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ is analytic in λ hence (cf. [22]) $\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda)$ is analytic as well. Since $h(x,y,\lambda)$ is continuous, we have (cf. [18])

$$\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_r(\mathcal{D}(\lambda))}{r!} \tag{34}$$

where

$$C_r(\mathcal{D}(\lambda)) = \sum_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n=1}^{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \det \left(h_{i_p i_q}(x_p, x_q, \lambda) \right)_{p,q=1}^{r} \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_r .$$

Expanding (34), thereafter substituting the Neumann series for the Jost solution $Y_l^-(x,\lambda)$ and reordering the multiple integrals, we have

$$\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = 1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(Y_0^-(x,\lambda) Z_0^+(x,\lambda) R(x) \right) \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} C_r(\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)), \tag{35}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)$ is an integral operator with kernel $\bar{k}(x,\xi,\lambda)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\bar{k}(x,x,\lambda) \right) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\pm Y_0^{\pm}(x,\lambda) Z_0^{\mp}(x,\lambda) R(x) \right) \mathrm{d}x. \tag{36}$$

Note that the minus sign in the equation (36) is obtained by using an equivalent definition of $D(\lambda)$, that is

$$D(\lambda) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} \langle Y_i^+(x,\lambda), (Z_0^-(x,\lambda))_j^* \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}, \text{ for } i,j = k+1, \ldots, n-k.$$

Observe that the Fredholm determinant $d(\lambda)$ associated to the trace class operator $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ and $\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda)$ are both analytic and their zeros coincide [44]. Furthermore equations (36) and (30) imply that $\bar{k}(x,\xi,\lambda) = k(x,\xi,\lambda)$ for all $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$. It then follows that the right-hand side of equation (35) coincide with the expansion of $\det_1 (I_{L^2} + \mathcal{K}(\lambda))$, that is

$$\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = \det_1 \left(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{K}(\lambda) \right).$$

□

Note that from the proof of Theorem 4.3, we conclude that the integral operator $\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)$ is of trace class with trace given in (36), and that equation (35) is indeed

$$\det_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = \det_1 \left(I_{L^2} + \overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda) \right). \tag{37}$$

Let us define the Evans function $E(\lambda)$ by

$$E(\lambda) = \det_{\mathbb{C}^n} \begin{pmatrix} Y^-(x,\lambda) & Y^+(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{38}$$

where Y^- and Y^+ are the set of solutions for the first order system (10) that decay at $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, respectively. Given Theorem 4.3, we have the following.

Theorem 4.4. *For $\lambda \in \Omega$, the Evans function and the Fredholm determinant satisfy*

$$d(\lambda) = \frac{E(\lambda)}{c(\lambda)}, \tag{39}$$

where $c(\lambda) = \det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\begin{pmatrix} Y_0^-(x,\lambda) & Y_0^+(x,\lambda)\end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. Under Hypothesis 2.1, $\det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\begin{pmatrix} Y_0^-(x,\lambda) & Y_0^+(x,\lambda)\end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the Jost solutions Y_i^- and Y_j^+ associated to the equation (10), and observing that from equation (15), we have $\begin{pmatrix} Y_0^- & Y_0^+\end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_0^+ \\ Z_0^-\end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(\lambda) &= \det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\begin{pmatrix} Y_1^-(x,\lambda)\cdots Y_k^-(x,\lambda) & Y_{k+1}^+(x,\lambda)\cdots Y_n^+(x,\lambda)\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\begin{pmatrix} Y_0^-(x,\lambda) & Y_0^+(x,\lambda)\end{pmatrix} \det_{\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}}\left(I_n + \begin{pmatrix} Z_0^+(x,\lambda) \\ Z_0^-(x,\lambda)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} J^-Y^-(x,\lambda) & J^+Y^+(x,\lambda)\end{pmatrix}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J^{\pm}Y^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = \mp\int_x^{\pm\infty} H(x,\xi,\lambda)R(\xi)Y^{\pm}(\xi,\lambda)\mathrm{d}\xi$$

with $H(x,\xi,\lambda)$ given in Definition 4.1. Using equation (15), we have

$$E(\lambda) = c(\lambda)\det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(\begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-k}\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \int_{-\infty}^{x} Z_0^+(\xi,\lambda)R(\xi)Y^-(\xi,\lambda)\mathrm{d}\xi & -\int_x^{\infty} Z_0^+(\xi,\lambda)R(\xi)Y^+(\xi,\lambda)\mathrm{d}\xi \\ \int_{-\infty}^{x} Z_0^-(\xi,\lambda)R(\xi)Y^-(\xi,\lambda)\mathrm{d}\xi & -\int_x^{\infty} Z_0^-(\xi,\lambda)R(\xi)Y^+(\xi,\lambda)\mathrm{d}\xi\end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{40}$$

Observe that the integrands in the right-hand side of equation (40) are independent of the variable x, so taking the limit for instance as x goes to ∞, we have

$$E(\lambda) = c(\lambda)\det_{\mathbb{C}^k}\left(I_k + \int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_0^+(x,\lambda)R(x)Y^-(x,\lambda)\mathrm{d}x\right). \tag{41}$$

Hence by Theorem 4.3, equation (39) follows □

Since $L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}$ is a constant coefficient differential operator then we can identify its determinant with $c(\lambda)$, defined in (39). That is,

$$\det_F(L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}) = \det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\begin{pmatrix} Y_0^-(x,\lambda) & Y_0^+(x,\lambda)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{42}$$

Given equation (39) and (42), it seems natural to define the determinant of the Fredholm operator [17, Chap XI] $L_0 + v(x) - \lambda I_{L^2}$ to be the Evans function

$$E(\lambda) := \det_F(L_0 + v(x) - \lambda I_{L^2}). \tag{43}$$

Thus, the Fredholm determinant of a trace class operator can be defined as a ratio of the determinants of elliptic operators which are Fredholm. In other words, we can extend the notion of the Fredholm determinant to non-compact operators. That is,

$$\det_1(I_{L^2} + K(\lambda)v(x)) = \frac{\det_F(L_0 + v(x) - \lambda I_{L^2})}{\det_F(L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2})}. \tag{44}$$

Indeed, let $\det_\zeta$ denote the zeta-regularised determinant (cf. [35]). The determinant of an invertible elliptic operator A with positive order and admitting a ray of minimal growth is given by

$$\det_\zeta(A) = \exp\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\zeta_A(s)|_{s=0}\right)$$

with

$$\zeta_A(s) = \mathrm{tr}(A^{-s}) = \sum_{\lambda_n \in \sigma_d(A)} \lambda_n^{-s},$$

where $\sigma_d(A)$ denote the discret spectrum of A and $\mathrm{Re}(s) \gg 0$. Observe that if an elliptic operator A is Fredholm then we can define

$$\det{}_F(A) := \det{}_\zeta(A).$$

It then follows that [29, Lemma 2.1]

$$\begin{aligned}\det{}_F\left(L_0 + v(x) - \lambda I_{L^2}\right) &= \det{}_F\left[(L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2})(I_{L^2} + K(\lambda)v(x)\right] \\ &= \det{}_F(L_0 - \lambda I_{L^2}) \det{}_1(I_{L^2} + K(\lambda)v(x)).\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Identifiying equations (45) and (39), equation (43) follows. Observe that away from the ray of minimal growth, the multiplicative property (39) of the determinant by means of the Evans function still holds. Moreover, the Evans function can be defined when $\sigma_d(L) = \{0\}$ (cf. [2]). Next, we are going to show that given equation (41), we can define the p-modified Fredholm determinant as in equation (44).

4.1 A general first order system

Now suppose that the operator $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is not derived from a scalar problem, and its kernel is defined as in (29) with $R(x)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}&\|R\|_{\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}} \in L^1, \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(R(x)\right) \mathrm{d}x = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

From equation (41), it is clear that the connection between the Evans function $E(\lambda)$ and $\det_{\mathbb{C}^{k\times k}} D(\lambda)$ holds independently whether the operator $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is of trace class or not. Consequently, equations (35) and (37) hold as well. We have the equality of the determinant if and only if $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is a trace class operator. Assume that $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. Then for $\lambda \in \Omega$ such that $\|\mathcal{K}(\lambda)\|_2 < 1$, the Plemelj formula for $\det_p$ and in particular for $p = 2$ is given by

$$\det{}_2\left(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{K}(\lambda)\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l} \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{K}^l(\lambda)\right)\right).$$

On the other hand, for $\lambda \in \Omega$ such that $\|\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)\|_1 < 1$, we write

$$\det{}_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = \det{}_1(I_{L^2} + \overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)) = \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l} \mathrm{tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}^l(\lambda)\right)\right). \tag{47}$$

We know that if $\mathcal{K}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J}_1$ then by Theorem 4.3, we have $\mathrm{tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}^l(\lambda)\right) = \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{K}^l(\lambda)\right)$ for $l \geq 1$. However $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt then its trace might not be defined or might not be equal to $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(\bar{k}(x,x,\lambda)\right)\,\mathrm{d}x$. In other words, we have $\mathrm{tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}^l(\lambda)\right) = \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{K}^l(\lambda)\right)$ for $l \geq 2$. Thus from (47), we have

$$\det{}_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = \exp\left(\mathrm{tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)\right)\right) \det{}_2(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{K}(\lambda)), \tag{48}$$

where $\mathrm{tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)\right)$ is defined in (36). Combining (48) and (41), we have

$$\det{}_2(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{K}(\lambda)) = \frac{E(\lambda)}{c(\lambda)} \exp\left(-\mathrm{tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)\right)\right).$$

Consequently, from (41) and (43) we have

$$\det{}_2(I_{L^2} + K_0(\lambda)R(x)) \exp\left(\mathrm{tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)\right)\right) = \frac{\det_F\left(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x - A(\lambda) - R(x)\right)}{\det_F\left(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x - A(\lambda)\right)}.$$

More generally if $\mathcal{K}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J}_p$ for $p \geq 2$ we have

$$\det{}_{\mathbb{C}^k} D(\lambda) = \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l} \mathrm{tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}^l(\lambda)\right)\right) \det{}_p\left(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{K}(\lambda)\right).$$

Hence

$$\det_p\left(I_{L^2}+\mathcal{K}(\lambda)\right)=\frac{E(\lambda)}{c(\lambda)}\exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{p-1}\frac{(-1)^l}{l}\operatorname{tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}^l(\lambda)\right)\right). \tag{49}$$

Remark 2. Note that equation (46) is an immediate consequence of (36). In other words, to ensure the equality of the k and $n-k$ determinant in (40), equation (46) must be satisfied. The condition (46) is equivalent to the condition $\int_a^b \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(A(x))\mathrm{d}x=0$ given in [13, Theorem 3.2].

In the travelling wave problem, it may happen that a transition to instability occurs (see [5, 34]) due to an eigenvalue emerging from the essential spectrum. For that case, an extension of the Evans function across the essential spectrum is possible (see [26, 12]). However, given (49) we can also use the p-modified Fredholm determinant to locate these values that become eigenvalues. Observe that the subdomain Ω can be extended to a domain Γ in the essential spectrum in which $c(\lambda)\neq 0$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$. In particular, if the essential spectrum is in the left of the spectral plane, we have

$$\Gamma=\{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\leq 0:\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n}(\kappa_i-\kappa_j)\neq 0 \text{ with } \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_j)\in\mathbb{R}\}. \tag{50}$$

Note that for $\lambda\in\Gamma$, $(L_0-\lambda I_{L^2})^{-1}$ is not an operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. However if $\phi\in L^1(\mathbb{R},e^{\beta|x|}\mathrm{d}x)$ for some $\beta>0$ then we might have $\phi(x)(L_0-\lambda I_{L^2})^{-1}(x,y)|\phi(y)|^{1/2}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. So its induced operator is Hilbert-Schmidt. Consequently $\det_2\left(I_{L^2}+\mathcal{K}(\lambda)\right)$ is analytic for $\lambda\in\Gamma$ and its zeros are precisely these values that become eigenvalues.

5 Fredholm determinant for fronts

In this section, we construct an integral operator associated to the Fredholm determinant for the case of a front. From previous sections we know that computing the Fredholm determinant corresponding to the scalar or the first order problem is the same. Then we can just focus on the first order system case.

Hypothesis 5.1. *Suppose that* $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}R(x)=R^\pm$, *where* $R^+\neq R^-$ *are constant-valued matrices , and* $\|R(x)-R^\pm\|_{\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}}\in L^1$ *satisfying*

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(R(x)-R^-\right)\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_0^{+\infty}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(R(x)-R^+\right)\,\mathrm{d}x=0.$$

Moreover, assume that the equation

$$\det_{\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}}\left(A^\pm(\lambda)-\mu I_n\right)=0,$$

where $A^\pm(\lambda)=A(\lambda)+R^\pm$, *has* k *roots* $\kappa_i^\pm=\mu_i^\pm(\lambda)$, $i=1,\ldots,k$ *with* $\operatorname{Re}(\kappa_i^\pm)>0$ *and* $n-k$ *roots* $\tau_i^\pm=\mu_i^\pm(\lambda)$, $i=k+1,\ldots,n$ *with* $\operatorname{Re}(\tau_i^\pm)<0$ *for all* $\lambda\in\Omega$, *where* $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ *is the region of interest.*

When $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}R(x)=R^\pm$, the construction of the Green's matrix associated to the unperturbed problem $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x-A^\pm(\lambda)$ is not obvious as in Section 2. However, we observe that we can construct an equivalent constant-coefficient differential operator $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x-B(\lambda)$ which retain only important informations about the operator $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x-A^\pm(\lambda)$. To this end, let us construct the matrix $B(\lambda)$ such that the functions $(Y_0^\pm)_j\in\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x-A^\pm(\lambda)\right)$ and decaying at $\pm\infty$, satisfy also

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(Y_0^\pm)_j=B(\lambda)(Y_0^\pm)_j.$$

It then follows that $B(\lambda)$ must satisfy

$$P^{-1}(\lambda)B(\lambda)P(\lambda)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\kappa_1^-,\ldots,\kappa_k^-,\tau_{k+1}^+,\ldots,\tau_n^+\right),$$

where

$$P(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \kappa_1^- & \cdots & \kappa_k^- & \tau_{k+1}^+ & \cdots & \tau_n^+ \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ (\kappa_1^-)^{n-1} & \cdots & (\kappa_k^-)^{n-1} & (\tau_{k+1}^+)^{n-1} & \cdots & (\tau_n^+)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the Green's matrix corresponding to the operator $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x - B(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\widetilde{G}(x,\xi,\lambda) = \begin{cases} -Y_0^-(x,\lambda) Z_0^+(\xi,\lambda), & x \le \xi, \quad x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}; \\ Y_0^+(x,\lambda) Z_0^-(\xi,\lambda), & \xi < x, \end{cases} \tag{51}$$

where

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(Z_0^\pm(x,\lambda)\right)_j = -\left(Z_0^\pm(x,\lambda)\right)_j B(\lambda).$$

The Green's matrix constructed in (51) satisfies equation (12). In the same manner, the Birman-Schwinger operator corresponding to the new problem

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} Y = \left(B(\lambda) + Q(x)\right) Y$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_B(\lambda) = |Q(x)|^{1/2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - B(\lambda)\right)^{-1} \widetilde{Q},$$

where

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} R(x) - R^-, & x \le 0; \\ R(x) - R^+, & x > 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\widetilde{Q}(x) = U|Q(x)|^{1/2}$ with U a unitary transformation so that $Q(x) = \widetilde{Q}(x)|Q(x)|^{1/2}$.
Suppose that the Birman-Schwinger operator associated to the original problem, $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{K}_B(\lambda)$ are in $\mathcal{J}_p$. Then we have

$$\det{}_p\left(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{K}(\lambda)\right) = \tilde{c}(\lambda) \det{}_p\left(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{K}_B(\lambda)\right), \tag{52}$$

where $\tilde{c}(\lambda)$ is a non-zero analytic function. If for instance $\mathcal{K}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J}_1$ then by Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\frac{\det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(Y^-(x,\lambda) \quad Y^+(x,\lambda)\right)}{\det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(Y_0^-(x,\lambda) \quad Y_0^+(x,\lambda)\right)} = \tilde{c}(\lambda) \det{}_1\left(I_{L^2} + \mathcal{K}_B(\lambda)\right)$$

The subregion Ω when $R^- \ne R^+$ is given by [20, Lemma 2, p.138]

$$\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\sigma_e(L_{A^-}) \cup \sigma_e(L_{A^+})\right),$$

where $L_{A^\pm} = \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x - A^\pm(\lambda)$.

Remark 3. If the Evans function is given by (cf. [1])

$$\widetilde{E}(\lambda) = \exp\left(-\int_0^x \mathrm{tr}A(s,\lambda)\mathrm{d}s\right) \det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(Y^-(x,\lambda) \quad Y^+(x,\lambda)\right).$$

Then using Proposition 2 and an argument found in [4], we can show that

$$\widetilde{E}(\lambda) = \mathcal{C}(\lambda) \det_{\mathbb{C}^n}\left(Y^-(x,\lambda) \quad Y^+(x,\lambda)\right),$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ is a non-zero analytic function. Hence the connection of $\widetilde{E}(\lambda)$ and the p-modified Fredholm determinant follow from the previous section.

Remark 4. Given the connection of the Evans function and the p-modified Fredholm determinant in the one dimensional case, a connection in a higher dimensional problem might be possible. This is either accomplished by converting the higher dimensional problem to a one dimensional problem using a suitable transformation (see. [15]), for numerical details (cf. [31]). Thus, under hypotheses described in this paper, the connection follows. Or, it might be accomplished by constructing the generalised Evans function (see. [6]).

6 Conclusion

We have investigated the connection between the Evans function and the p-modified Fredholm determinant for the travelling wave problem in one dimension. This connection turned out to be natural, therefore our next objective is to numerically compute the p-modified Fredholm determinant using two approaches. These approaches are, the Nyström method which involves the integral operator itself (see. [3]) and the shooting method which uses the Evans function see for example [23, 30, 31] for details. Another approach is to compute the right-hand side of equation (48) which involves computing the determinant of a $k \times k$ or $n-k \times n-k$ matrix.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Dr S.J.A Malham for suggesting the topic of this paper, and for many helpful discussions on its content, also the Numerical Algorithms and Intelligent Software Centre funded by the UK EPSRC grant EP/G036136 and the Scottish Funding Council for supporting this work.

References

[1] J.C. Alexander, R. Gardner and C.K.R.T. Jones, *A topological invariant arising in the stability analysis of traveling waves*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 410 (1990), pp. 167–212.

[2] S. Benzoni-Gavage, D. Serre, and K. Zumbrun *Alternate Evans functions and viscous shock waves*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 32, pp. 929–962.

[3] F. Bornemann, *On the numerical evaluation of Fredholm determinants*, Math. Comp. 79(270) (2010), pp. 871–915.

[4] T.J. Bridges and G. Derks, *Hodge duality and the Evans function*, Physics Letters A 251 (1999), pp. 363–372.

[5] T.J. Bridges, G. Derks and G. Gottwald, *Stability and instability of solitary waves of the fifth-order KdV equation: a numerical framework*, Physica D 172 (2002), pp. 190–216.

[6] J. Deng and S. Nii, *Infinite-dimensional Evans function theory for elliptic eigenvalue problems in a channel*, J.Differential Equation 225 (2006), pp .57–89.

[7] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators Part II: Spectral Theory*, Interscience, 1963.

[8] D.E. Edmunds and W.D. Evans, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, 1987.

[9] J.W. Evans, *Nerve Axon Equations: IV The Stable and the Unstable Impulse*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal 24 (1975), pp. 1169–1190.

[10] I. Fredholm, *Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles*, Acta. Math. 27 (1903), pp. 365–390.

[11] R. Froese, *Asymptotic Distribution of Resonances in One Dimension*, J. Differential Equations 137(2) (1997), pp. 251–272.

[12] R. Gardner and K. Zumbrun, *The gap lemma and geometric criteria instability of viscous shock profiles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51 (1998), pp. 797–855.

[13] F. Gesztesy and K.A. Makarov, *(Modified) Fredholm Determinants for Operators with Matrix-Valued Semi-Separable Integral Kernels Revisited*, Integr.equ.oper.theory 48 (2004), pp. 561–602.

[14] F. Gesztesy, Y. Latushkin and K.A. Makarov, *Evans functions, Jost functions, and Fredholm determinants*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 186 (2007), pp. 361–421.

[15] F. Gesztesy, Y. Latushkin and K. Zumbrun, *Derivatives of (modified) Fredholm determinants and stability of standing and traveling waves*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliqués 90 (2008), pp. 160–200.

[16] F. Gesztesy, Y. Latushkin, M. Mitrea and M. Zinchenko, *Nonselfadjoint Operators, infinite determinants, and some applications*, Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics 12(4) (2005), pp. 443–471.

[17] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg and M.A. Kaashoek, *Classes of Linear Operators, Vol I*, Birkhäuser, 1990.

[18] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, and N. Krupnik, *Traces and determinants of linear operators*, Birkhäuser, 2000.

[19] A. Grothendiek, *La théorie de Fredholm*, Bull. Soc. Math. 84 (1956), pp. 319–384.

[20] D. Henry, *Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1981.

[21] H. Hochstadt, *Integral Equations*, Wiley-Interscience, 1973.

[22] J.S. Howland, *Analyticity of determinants of operators on a Banach space*, Proc. AMS 1(28)(1971), pp. 177-180.

[23] J. Humpherys and K. Zumbrun, *An efficient shooting algorithm for Evans function calculations in large systems*, Physica D 220 (2006), pp. 116-126.

[24] R. Jost and A. Pais, *On the Scattering of a Particle by a Static Potential*, Phys. Rev 82(6) (1951), pp. 840–851.

[25] J. Jost, *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*, Universitext, 5th Ed. Springer 2008.

[26] T. Kapitula and B. Sandstede, *Stability of bright solitary-wave solutions to perturbed nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Physica D 124 (1998), pp. 58–103.

[27] T. Kapitula and B. Sandstede, *Eigenvalues and Resonances Using the Evans Function*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 10(4) 2004, pp. 857–869.

[28] J.P. Keener, *Principles of Applied Mathematics*, Perseus Books, 1999.

[29] M. Kontsevich and S. Vishik, *Geometry of determinants of elliptic operators*, arXiv:hep-th/9406140v1 (1994).

[30] V. Ledoux, S.J.A. Malham and V. Thümmler, *Grassmannian spectral shooting*, Math. Comp. 79 (2010), pp. 1585–1619.

[31] V. Ledoux, S.J.A. Malham, J. Niesen and V. Thümmler, *Computing stability of multidimensional traveling waves*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. 8 (2009), pp. 480–507.

[32] M. Marletta, *Automatic solution of regular and singular vector Sturm-Liouville*, Numer. Algorithms 4(1993), pp. 65–99.

[33] C.D. Meyer, *Matrix analysis and applied linear algebra*, SIAM, 2000.

[34] R.L. Pego, P. Smereka and M.I. Weinstein, *Oscillatory instability of traveling waves for a KdV-Burgers equation*, Physica D 67 (1993), pp. 45–65.

[35] D.B. Ray and I.M. Singer, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Math. 98 (1973), pp. 154–177.

[36] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics I: Functional analysis*, Academic Press, 1980.

[37] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics IV: Analysis of operators*, Academic Press, 1978.

[38] W.T. Reid, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, John Wiley & Sons, 1971.

[39] F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Functional Analysis*, Dover Publications, 1960.

[40] J. Robbin and D. Salamon. *The spectral flow and the Maslov index*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 27 (1995), pp. 1–33.

[41] B. Sandstede, *Stability of travelling waves*, In Handbook of Dynamical Systems II, B. Fiedler, ed., Elsevier (2002), pp. 983–1055.

[42] B. Simon, *Notes on infinite determinants of Hilbert space operators*, Advances in Mathematics 24 (1977), pp. 244–273.

[43] B. Simon, *Resonances in one dimension and Fredholm determinants*, J. Funct. Anal. 178 (2000), pp. 396–420.

[44] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Volume 120, AMS, 2nd Ed., 2005.